Carnegie Mellon University

Retrieval-augmented LMs: Past, Present and Future

Large Language Models: Methods and Applications

Akari Asai (akari@cs.washington.edu)



Feel free to post questions on Sli.do! Sli.do code #2068655

How do normal parametric LLMs work?

Encapsulating everything in parameters by *pre-training* parameters on large-scale text corpora



How do normal parametric LLMs work?

Encapsulating everything in parameters by *pre-training* parameters on large-scale text corpora



LLMs cannot memorize everything in their parameters (yet), resulting in factual inaccuracy

Summarize Akari Asai's research including her representative papers.

une ana

LLMs cannot memorize everything in their parameters (yet), resulting in factual inaccuracy

Summarize Akari Asai's research including her representative papers.

Akari Asai is a prominent researcher in the field of natural language processing (NLP), with a focus on question answering (QA), information retrieval, and multi-lingual models. Her research revolves around building efficient and robust systems for extracting information from large corpora,

LLMs cannot memorize everything in their parameters (yet), resulting in factual inaccuracy

Summarize Akari Asai's research including her representative papers.

Akari Asai is a prominent researcher in the field of natural language processing (NLP), with a focus on question answering (QA), information retrieval, and multi-lingual models. Her research revolves around building efficient and robust systems for extracting information from large corpora,

Representative Papers:

1. **"XOR QA: Cross-lingual Open-Retrieval Question Answering" (2021)** In this paper, Asai introduced XOR QA, a benchmark for cross-lingual open-retrieval question answering, targeting QA across multiple languages. The model tackles the challenges of finding answers in languages different from the one in which the question is asked, highlighting the

LLMs cannot memorize everything in their parameters (yet), resulting in factual inaccuracy

Summarize Akari Asai's research including her representative papers.

Akari Asai is a prominent researcher in the field of nat on question answering (QA), information retrieval, and around building efficient and robust systems for extra

Representative Papers:

1. **"XOR QA: Cross-lingual Open-Retrieval Questio** introduced XOR QA, a benchmark for cross-lingua targeting QA across multiple languages. The mode in languages different from the one in which the q

XOR QA: Cross-lingual Open-Retrieval Question Answering

Akari Asai^{*}, Jungo Kasai^{*}, Jonathan H. Clark^{*}, Kenton Lee^{*}, Eunsol Choi^{*}, Hannaneh Hajishirzi^{**} ^{*}University of Washington ^{*}Google Research ^{*}The University of Texas at Austin ^{*}Allen Institute for AI {akari, jkasai, hannaneh}@cs.washington.edu {jhclark, kentonl}@google.com, eunsol@cs.utexas.edu

Abstract

Multilingual question answering tasks typically assume that answers exist in the same language as the question. Yet in practice, many languages face both *information scarcity*—where languages have few reference articles—and *information asymmetry*—where questions reference concepts from other cultures. This work extends open-retrieval question answering to a cross-lingual setting enabling questions from one language to be answered via answer content from another language. We construct a large-scale dataset



LLMs cannot memorize everything in their parameters (yet), resulting in factual inaccuracy

Summarize Akari Asai's research including her representative papers.

Akari Asai is a prominent researcher in the field of natural language processing (NLP), with a focus on question answering (QA), information retrieval, and multi-lingual models. Her research revolves around building efficient and robust systems for extracting information from large corpora,

. . .

2. "Dense Passage Retrieval for Open-Domain Question Answering" (2020) This is one of her most cited works, where she co-authored the introduction of Dense Passage Retrieval (DPR). DPR is a state-of-the-art approach for efficiently retrieving passages relevant to a query by mapping both questions and passages into dense vector spaces using dual encoders. This method significantly improved the performance of open-domain QA systems.

LLMs cannot encapslate everything in their parameters yet.

Summarize Akari Asai's research including her representative papers.

Akari Asai is a prominent researcher in the field of natural language processing (NLP), with a focus on question answering (QA), information retrieval, and multi-lingual models. Her research revolves around building efficient and robust systems for extracting information from large corpora Dense Passage Retrieval for Open-Domain Question Answering

2. "Dense Passage Retrieval for Ope most cited works, where she co-au DPR is a state mapping bot of the state mapping

method significantly improved the performance of open-domain QA systems.

Catastrophic incidents due to LLM hallucinations

Such LLM hallucinations have been causing many critical incidents in the real world

TECH · LAW

Humiliated lawyers fined \$5,000 for submitting ChatGPT hallucinations in court: 'I heard about this new site, which I falsely assumed was, like, a super search engine'

BY RACHEL SHIN Ľ June 23, 2023 at 9-41 AM POT



Air Canada must honor refund policy invented by airline's chatbot

Air Canada appears to have quietly killed its costly chatbot support.

ASHLEY BELANGER - 2/16/2024, 12:12 PM

A new type of LMs that can use large-scale text data (datastore) at *inference-time*



A new type of LMs that can use large-scale text data (datastore) at *inference-time*

Input *x*





LLM

Datastore

A new type of LMs that can use large-scale text data (datastore) at *inference-time*



Datastore

A new type of LMs that can use large-scale text data (datastore) at *inference-time*



A new type of LMs that can use large-scale text data (datastore) at *inference-time*



Benefit of retrieval-augmented LMs #1: reduce hallucinations

Retrieval-augmented LMs can reduce hallucinations, especially in long-tail knowledge



Benefit of retrieval-augmented LMs #1: reduce hallucinations

Retrieval-augmented LMs can reduce hallucinations, especially in long-tail knowledge



"When Not to Trust Language Models: Investigating Effectiveness of Parametric and Non-Parametric Memories". Mallen*, Asai* et al. ACL 2023

Quiz: What are the other benefits of using retrieval-augmented LMs?

Parametric LMs' knowledge gets obsolete quickly & requires continuous training

Who is the current prime minister of UK?

The current Prime Minister of the United Kingdom is **Rishi Sunak**. He has held the position since **October 25, 2022**, following the resignation of Liz Truss. Sunak is the leader of the Conservative Party and previously served as Chancellor of the Exchequer.

\$

Parametric LMs' knowledge gets obsolete quickly & requires continuous training

Who is the current prime minister of UK?



The current Prime Minister of the Ur October 25, 2022, following the re Party and previously served as Chance ndom is **Rishi Sunak**. He has held the position since f Liz Truss. Sunak is the leader of the Conservative the Exchequer.

Portrait	Prime minister Office (Lifespan)	Term of office			Manufata[8] 4
		Start +	End +	Duration +	Mandate ^{raj} ¢
	Rishi Sunak [98] MP for Richmond (Yorks) (born 1980) Premiership	25 October 2022	5 July 2024	1 year, 255 days	-
	Keir Starmer [99] MP for Holborn and St Pancras (born 1962) Premiership	5 July 2024	Incumbent	73 days	2024

Parametric LMs' knowledge gets obsolete quickly & requires continuous training



"RealTime QA: What's the Answer Right Now?" Kasai et al. NeurIPS (Benchmark). 2023

Parametric LMs' knowledge gets obsolete quickly & requires continuous training



The current Prime Minister UK is

"RealTime QA: What's the Answer Right Now?" Kasai et al. NeurIPS (Benchmark). 2023

Parametric LMs' knowledge gets obsolete quickly & requires continuous training



The current Prime Minister UK is

"RealTime QA: What's the Answer Right Now?" Kasai et al. NeurIPS (Benchmark). 2023

We can easily swap datastores for retrieval-augmented LMs for new data distributions



Benefit of retrieval-augmented LMs #3: Providing attributions

Retrieval-augmented LMs can provide a small number of documents as attributions

Who is the current prime minister of United Kingdom?



Searched 6 sites 🗸

As of September 2024, the current Prime Minister of the United Kingdom is **Keir Starmer**, leader of the Labour Party. He took office following a general election in July 2024, where Labour secured a significant victory, unseating the Conservative Party's Rishi Sunak, who had been Prime Minister since 2022(Northeastern Global News)(Wikipedia).



"Attributed Question Answering: Evaluation and Modeling for Attributed Large Language Models". Bohnet et al. ArXiv 2020.

Benefit of retrieval-augmented LMs #4: Flexible data opt-in / out

We can incorporate or remove high-risk data dynamically at inference, not training time



(public domain, permissively-licensed)

(copyrighted, private, attribution required)

Benefit of retrieval-augmented LMs #5: parameter efficiency

Retrieval-augmented LMs can be much more parameter efficient and compute-optimal

Compute-Optimal Scaling





Benefit of retrieval-augmented LMs #5: parameter efficiency

Retrieval-augmented LMs can be much more parameter efficient and compute-optimal

Compute-Optimal Scaling



(TriviaQA, 5-shot) †

FLOPs for pretraining large LMs

>

FLOPs for pretraining small LMs + construct datastore

"Scaling Retrieval-Based Language Models with a Trillion-Token Datastore." Shao, He, Asai et al., ArXiv 2024.

Retrieval-augmented LMs have been widely used!

Retrieval-augmented LMs have been widely used both in academia and industry



Retrieval-augmented LMs have been widely used!

Retrieval-augmented LMs have been widely used both in academia and industry



How RAG-Powered AI Applications Have A Positive Impact On Businesses

Jyotishko Biswas Forbes Councils Member Forbes Technology Council COUNCIL POST | Membership (Fee-Based)

Forbes



Subscribe About Archive BAIR

The Shift from Models to Compound AI Systems

"60% of LLM applications use some form of retrieval-augmented generation (RAG)"

Today's outline

- **1.** *Introduction: What are retrieval-augmented LMs? Why do we want to use them?*
- 2. **Past:** Architecture and training of retrieval-augmented LMs for downstream tasks
- 3. **Present:** Retrieval-augmented generation with LLMs
- 4. Future: Limitations & future directions



Feel free to post questions on Sli.do! Sli.do code #2068655

Past: Architecture and training of retrieval-augmented LMs for downstream tasks

RAG was initially extensively studied for certain NLP tasks, namely Question Answering



"Reading Wikipedia to Answer Open-Domain Questions." Chen et al., ACL 2017.

RAG was initially extensively studied for certain NLP tasks, namely Question Answering



End-to-end pre-training → fine-tuning of retriever & LM

"Retrieval-Augmented Generation for Knowledge-Intensive NLP Tasks." Lewis et al., NeurIPS 2020.

RAG was initially extensively studied for certain NLP tasks, namely Question Answering

2017: DrQA

2019: ORQA

2020: kNN LM

2020: RALM, RAG



New architectures for retrieval-augmented LMs

"Generalization through Memorization: Nearest Neighbor Language Models." Khandelwal et al., ICLR 2020.

RAG was initially extensively studied for certain NLP tasks, namely Question Answering



"Improving language models by retrieving from trillions of tokens." Borgeaud et al., Arxiv 2020.
Versatile and powerful LLMs demonstrate effectiveness even without fine-tuning

2017: DrQA 2019: ORQA 2020: RALM, RAG 2020: kNN LM 2020: GPT3 2021: RETRO 2022: ChatGPT



LLMs surpressed specialized QA models w/ retrieval

Success of In-Context Retrieval-Augmented LMs (commonly referred to as RAG today)



"In-Context Retrieval-Augmented Language Models." Ram et al., TACL 2023.

RAG was initially extensively studied for certain NLP tasks, namely Question Answering



2021: RETRO

Past: Developments in Architecture and Training for Specific Tasks

2023: Retrievalaugmented LLMs

RAG was initially extensively studied for certain NLP tasks, namely Question Answering

2019: ORQA 2020: RALM, RAG 2020: kNN LM

2021: RETRO

2017: DrQA

2023: Retrievalaugmented LLMs **Past:** Architecture / training developments for certain down or up-stream tasks

Current: Designing versatile and reliable LLM-based RAG systems for diverse use cases

Diverse architectures of retrieval-augmented LMs

Classifying retrieval-augmented LMs based on "where" we incorporate retrieved context

- Input augmentaiton
 - Augment the input of LMs with retrieved context
 - E.g., RAG, REALM, DrQA, In-context RALM



REALM is an retrieval-augmented masked LMs that predicts next tokens / spans in context



"REALM: Retrieval-Augmented Language Model Pre-Training." Guu et al., ICML 2020.

REALM finds relevant context by conducting kNN search in embedding spaces



 \mathbf{X} = World Cup 2022 was ... the increase to [MASK] in 2026.

"REALM: Retrieval-Augmented Language Model Pre-Training." Guu et al., ICML 2020.

REALM compute weighted averages of final answer distributions, using retrieval similarities

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathsf{MASK} \end{bmatrix} z_1 \begin{bmatrix} \mathsf{SEP} \end{bmatrix} x & \longrightarrow & \mathsf{LM} & \longrightarrow & P(y \mid x, z_1) \\ \begin{bmatrix} \mathsf{MASK} \end{bmatrix} z_2 \begin{bmatrix} \mathsf{SEP} \end{bmatrix} x & \longrightarrow & \mathsf{LM} & \longrightarrow & P(y \mid x, z_2) \\ \vdots \\ \begin{bmatrix} \mathsf{MASK} \end{bmatrix} z_k \begin{bmatrix} \mathsf{SEP} \end{bmatrix} x & \longrightarrow & \mathsf{LM} & \longrightarrow & P(y \mid x, z_k) \\ \end{bmatrix}$$
 Weighted average

Need to approximate $z \in \mathcal{D}$ from the read stage from the read stage

"REALM: Retrieval-Augmented Language Model Pre-Training." Guu et al., ICML 2020.

REALM compute weighted averages of final answer distributions, using retrieval similarities

$$\begin{bmatrix} \text{MASK} \end{bmatrix} z_1 \text{ [SEP] } x \longrightarrow \text{LM} \longrightarrow P(y \mid x, z_1) \\ \begin{bmatrix} \text{MASK} \end{bmatrix} z_2 \text{ [SEP] } x \longrightarrow \text{LM} \longrightarrow P(y \mid x, z_2) \\ \vdots \\ \begin{bmatrix} \text{MASK} \end{bmatrix} z_k \text{ [SEP] } x \longrightarrow \text{LM} \longrightarrow P(y \mid x, z_k) \end{bmatrix} \text{ Weighted average } \\ p(y \mid z, x) \propto \sum_{s \in S(z, y)} \exp \left(\text{MLP} \left(\left[h_{\text{START}(s)}; h_{\text{END}(s)} \right] \right) \right) \\ h_{\text{START}(s)} = \text{BERT}_{\text{START}(s)} (\text{join}_{\text{BERT}}(x, z_{\text{body}})), \\ h_{\text{END}(s)} = \text{BERT}_{\text{END}(s)} (\text{join}_{\text{BERT}}(x, z_{\text{body}})), \end{aligned}$$

arann

RAG combines a trained retriever & autoregressive BART, starting from pre-trained weights



$$p_{ ext{RAG-Token}}(y|x) ~pprox \prod_{i}^{N} ~\sum_{z \in ext{top-}k(p(\cdot|x))} p_{\eta}(z|x) p_{ heta}(y_{i}|x,z,y_{1:i-1})$$

RAG & REALM: Results

RAG and REALM show their effectiveness on open-domain QA and other tasks

• RAG outperforms REALM and other baselines on Open-domain QA such as NaturalQuestion



"Retrieval-Augmented Generation for Knowledge-Intensive NLP Tasks." Lewis et al., NeurIPS 2020.

RAG & REALM: Results

RAG and REALM show their effectiveness on open-domain QA and other tasks

- RAG outperforms REALM and other baselines on Open-domain QA such as NaturalQuestions
- RAG also show their effectiveness on generation tasks



"Retrieval-Augmented Generation for Knowledge-Intensive NLP Tasks." Lewis et al., NeurIPS 2020.

Recent follow-up: In-context Retrieval-augmented LMs

Similar principles as in DrQA, REALM, RAG, but completely removes retrieval

- Combining retrieval and off-the-shelf LMs e.g., GPT-4 at inference time without training
- Often referred to as "RAG" nowadays
- We'll cover this in depth in the next section!



"In-Context Retrieval-Augmented Language Models." Ram et al., TACL 2023.

Pros and cons of input augmentation

Input augmentation is powerful but has several limitations

• Pros

• Easy to switch to new, more powerful LMs with fine-tuning / without training

ana ann

• LLMs can effectively levarage input context

Pros and cons of input augmentation

Input augmentation is powerful but has several limitations.

• Pros

- Easy to switch to new, more powerful LMs with fine-tuning / without training
- LLMs can effectively levarage input context

• Cons

- Expensive to scale up to hundreads or thousands of documents
 - LLMs also often do not fully levarage long context
- No strict attributions to specific evidences



Diverse architectures of retrieval-augmented LMs

Classifying retrieval-augmented LMs based on "where" we incorporate retrieved context

• Input augmentaiton

- Augment the input of LMs with retrieved context
- E.g., RAG, REALM, DrQA, In-context RALM
- Intermediate incorporation
 - Incorporate retrieved context in intermediate spaces of transformers
 - E.g., RETRO, Instruct RETRO







(k chunks of text per split)



RETRO enables more efficient incorporations of many documents



Standard transformer block

RETRO enables more efficient incorporations of many documents



Chunked Cross Attention (CCA)

RETRO uses frozen BERT as a retriever, and retrieve nearest neighbors from 1.7T datastore





Given the input sequence, it first retrieves a set of relevant documents (embedding of text)





Use cross-attention to generate retrieved context-aware representations

Encoded neighbours



Use cross-attention to generate retrieved context-aware representations

Encoded neighbours



Concatnate all of the CA output (the size of input H and output CCA(H,E) remains the same

Encoded neighbours



RETRO uses frozen BERT as a retriever, and retrieve nearest neighbors from 1.7T datastore





RETRO: Results

RETRO shows impressive performance improvements on upstream (language modeling) tasks

• RETRO significantly outperforms non-retrieved baselines



RETRO: Results

RETRO shows impressive performance improvements on upstream (language modeling) tasks

- RETRO significantly outperforms non-retrieved baselines
- RETRO performance continues to improve as the datastore scales from a few billion to 1.7 trillion data points



RETRO: Results

RETRO shows impressive performance improvements on upstream (language modeling) tasks

- RETRO significantly outperforms non-retrieved baselines
- RETRO performance continues to improve as the datastore scales from a few billion to 1.7 trillion data points
- Increasing # of docs up to 40 helps



Recent follow-up: Instruct RETRO

Develop RETRO-block on top of Llama (autoregressive LMs), pre-training & multi-task training



Pros and cons of intermediate incorporation

Alternative way to incorporate retrieved context in a more scalable way, but requires training

• Pros

- More efficiently incorporates many passages than input augmentation
- Possibly more effective than retrieval augmentaion (i.e., Instruct RETRO results)

• Cons

- Require modification of underlying LMs
- Expensive pre-training is necessary
- Doesn't provide strict attribution

Diverse architectures of retrieval-augmented LMs

Classifying retrieval-augmented LMs based on "where" we incorporate retrieved context

• Input augmentaiton

- > Augment the input of LMs with retrieved context
- E.g., RAG, REALM, DrQA, In-context RALM
- Intermediate incorporation
 - Incorporate retrieved context in intermediate spaces of transformers
 - E.g., RETRO, Instruct RETRO
- Output interpolation
 - Interpolate output token probabilities with retrieved non-parametric distributions
 - E.g., kNN LM



Directly interpolate output token distributions of LMs

• Given a context *x*, a model predicts **parametric distributions** for next token

Parametric distribution (LM output distribution)



"Generalization through Memorization: Nearest Neighbor Language Models." Khandelwal et al., ICLR 2020.

Directly interpolate output token distributions of LMs

- Given a context x, a model predicts parametric distributions for next token
- kNN LM computes **nonparametric distributions**, by finding similar training context C_i



Test Context x	Target
Obama's birthplace is	?

Nonparametric distribution

Directly interpolate output token distributions of LMs

- Given a context x, a model predicts parametric distributions for next token
- kNN LM computes **nonparametric distributions**, by finding similar training context C_i



"Generalization through Memorization: Nearest Neighbor Language Models." Khandelwal et al., ICLR 2020.

Directly interpolate output token distributions of LMs

• Interpolates two token distributions, adjusting the balance using a hyperparamter λ



"Generalization through Memorization: Nearest Neighbor Language Models." Khandelwal et al., ICLR 2020.

kNN LM: Results

kNN LM outperforms much larger parametric LMs by large margin

• kNN LM constantly outperforms parametric 100M LMs & 30x larger 3B LMs with larger datastore


kNN LM: Results

kNN LM outperforms much larger parametric LMs by large margin

- kNN LM constantly outperforms parametric LMs and 30x larger 3B LMs with larger datastore
- kNN LM also enables efficient & controlled domain adaptations



Recent follow-up: TRIME

 \bigcirc

Training kNN LM to better learn interpolations

- kNN LM uses pre-trained LMs without any training
- TRIME introduces an efficient training method, outperforming kNN LM



Wikitext 103 (Perplexity)



Pros and cons of output interpolation

kNN LM & variatns have unique advantages but have several empirical challenges

- Pros
 - Provides token-level attributions
 - Enables explicit control between parametric and non-parametric memories

Pros and cons of output interpolation

kNN LM & variatns have unique advantages but have several empirical challenges

• Pros

- Provides token-level attributions
- Enables explicit control between parametric and non-parametric memories
- Cons
 - Difficult to scale to large retrieval corpora (i.e., the number of embeddings equals the number of tokens)
 - Empirically shows limited effectiveness outside of upsteam language modeling tasks

Great Memory, Shallow Reasoning: Limits of kNN-LMs

Shangyi Geng Wenting Zhao Alexander M Rush Cornell University {sg2323, wz346, arush}@cornell.edu $k \mbox{NN-LM}$ Does Not Improve Open-ended Text Generation

Shufan Wang1Yixiao Song1Andrew Drozdov1Aparna Garimella2Varun Manjunatha2Mohit Iyyer1University of Massachusetts Amherst1Adobe Research2{shufanwang, yixiaosong, adrozdov, miyyer}@umass.edu
{garimell, vmanjuna}@adobe.com

Summary

Diverse types of retrieval-augmented LMs have been studied; have pros & cons

- Input augmentation: widely used and effective but faces challenges when incorporating more passages
- Intermediate incorporation: can efficiently handle more passages but requires pre-training and fine-tuning
- Output interpolation: provides direct control over LM output, but has limited success in downstream tasks and faces challenges of scaling the datastore

	Representative methods	Retrieval unit	Retrieval frequency
Input augmentation	DrQA, RAG, REALM, ICRALM	Passage	Once at the beginning
Intermediate incorporation	RETRO, InstructRETRO	Passage	Every k tokens
Output interpolation	knnlm Trime	Token	Every token

Present: Retrieval-augmented Generation with LLMs

Simply augmenting input of LMs gives signifcant gain across different tasks

Who is the current prime minister of United Kingdom?

LLM

Answer the following question, based on the reference. Reference Q: Who is the current PM of UK? A:

Simply augmenting input of LMs gives signifcant gain across different tasks



Simply augmenting input of LMs gives signifcant gain across different tasks





Simply augmenting input of LMs gives signficant gain across different tasks



Simply augmenting input of LMs gives signficant gain across different tasks



In-context Retrieval-augmented LMs: Result

Simply augmenting input-space of LMs give significant gain across different tasks

- In upsream language modeling task, simply adding retrieved context gives large gains, especially smaller models
- Similar significant gains in downstream tasks such as Question Answering



No Retrieval In-Context RALM (BM25)

RealNews

In-context Retrieval-augmented LMs: Result

Effects of retrieval systems for downstream task performance

• On language modeling, BM 25 results in best performance



"In-Context Retrieval-Augmented Language Models." Ram et al., TACL 2023.

In-context Retrieval-augmented LMs: Result

Effects of retrieval systems for downstream task performance

- On language modeling, BM 25 results in best performance
- On downstream QA tasks, trained retrieval models eg Contriever results in best performance



Is combining off-the-shelf models sufficient?

• In-context retrieval-augmented LMs sometimes generate content that is not fully supported by their citations

What are the latest discoveries from the James Webb Space Telescope?

The James Webb Space Telescope is designed to peer into the dusty clouds of gas where stars and planetary systems are born. Webb has captured the first direct image of an exoplanet, and the Pillars of Creation in the Eagle Nebula[1][2]. Additionally, the telescope will be used to study the next interstellar interloper[3].

(*Some generated statements may not be fully supported by citations, while others are fully supported.)



Is combining off-the-shelf models sufficient?

- In-context retrieval-augmented LMs sometimes generate content that is not fully supported by their citations
- They can easily be distracted by unhelpful context



"Making Retrieval-Augmented Language Models Robust to Irrelevant Context". ICLR 2024.

Is combining off-the-shelf models sufficient?

- In-context retrieval-augmented LMs generate what is not fully supported by their citations
- They can easily get distracted by unhelpful context
- Diverse tasks require diffent retrieval needs e.g., content, frequency

Who is the current PM of UK?

Can be easily answered based on top documents retrieved at the beginning

Is combining off-the-shelf models sufficient?

- In-context retrieval-augmented LMs generate what is not fully supported by their citations
- They can easily get distracted by unhelpful context
- Diverse tasks require diffent retrieval needs e.g., content, frequency

Who is the current PM of UK?

Create a table listing all previous UK Prime Ministers, including their terms in office, political party, alma mater, and notable achievements. Can be easily answered based on top documents retrieved at the beginning

This may require iterative retrieval, based on the current generation

Is combining off-the-shelf models sufficient?

- In-context retrieval-augmented LMs generate what is not fully supported by their citations
- They can easily be distracted by unhelpful context
- Diverse tasks require diffent retrieval needs e.g., content, frequency

Who is the current PM of UK?

Create a table listing all previous UK Prime Ministers, including their terms in office, political party, alma mater, and notable achievements.

The equation x + 2x = i has two complex solutions. Determine the product of their real parts (from MATH) Can be easily answered based on top documents retrieved at the beginning

This may require iterative retrieval, based on the current generation

Questions with similar solutions may have limited semantic similarities in embedding space

Designing and training more reliable LLM RAG

Approaches to optimize (1) LM, (2) Retrievers, or (3) prompts for LLM RAG

1. Optimizing LLMs for RAG: training / controlling LLMs with retrieved context



SAIL: Training LMs with retrieval-augmented data

SAIL augments existing instruction-tuning data to teach the LM how to use retrieved context

Fact check the following claim:

"However the warming trend is slower than most climate models have forecast." Label: UNFACTUAL

Informative and Distracting Search Results

(1) From climate change 'certainty' to rapid decline: a timeline of IPCC ...

The fourth IPCC report, in 2007, was the moment when humanity's responsibility for global heating became all but certain: "Warming of the climate system is unequivocal ... Eleven of the last ...

(2) AI study finds planet could cross 2-degree warming threshold by mid ...

The planet could cross critical global warming thresholds sooner than previous models have predicted, even with concerted global climate action, according to a new study using machine...

(3) Constrained CMIP6 projections indicate less warming and a slower ...

The slower warming implies a lower snow cover loss rate by 10.5-40.2%. ... future changes in the predicted variable y ... model intercomparison project phase 5 global climate models using ...

SAIL-7B

Search result (1) is distracting, search result (2) is informative, and search result (3) is distracting. i will utilize the informative search result (2) and my knowledge. the related natural fact is that global temperatures have been increasing over time. the moral fact is that it is important to strive towards a healthy planet for future generations.

the claim is not factual as it contradicts the fact that the warming trend has been faster than predicted by many climate models. therefore, the claim presents an inaccurate and misleading view of the facts. SAIL sytnthetically generates explanations by using a NLI model

Self-RAG: Teaching LLMs to learn to levarage retrieved context

Self-RAG teaches LMs to adaptively retrieve and evaluates context & own generation

• Train an arbitrary LM (e.g., Llama 3) to generate special tokens for (1) triggering retrieval only when necessary and (2) evaluating the relevance of retrieved context and its own generations.

Input: Write an essay of your best summer vacation

Output: My best summer vacation was a magical escape to the coastal town of Santorini. The azure waters, charming white-washed building are unforgettable.

Augmented Output: No Retrieval My best summer vacation was a magical escape to the coastal town of Santorini. No Retrieval The azure waters, charming white-washed building are unforgettable experience.

Input: How did US states get their names?

Output: 1 of 50 states names come from persons. For instance, Louisiana was named in honor of King Louis XIV of France and Georgia was named after King George II.



Advanced RAG inference algorithm

Advanced RAG inference algorithm to better incorporate retrieved context

• Levarage model-generated tokens to improve search process at inference time



Optimizing LLMs for RAG: Results

New training and advanced inference algorithm for RAG significantly boost performance

• Training with 8B and 13B models significantly boosts performance compared to off-the-shelf RAG pipelines



Optimizing LLMs for RAG: Results

New training and advanced inference algorithm for RAG significantly boost performance

- Training with 8B and 13B models significantly boosts performance compared to off-the-shelf RAG pipelines
- Adaptive use of retrieval also improves the efficiency of RAG systems





Designing and training more reliable LLM RAG

Approaches to optimize (1) LM, (2) Retrievers, or (3) prompts for LLM RAG

- 1. Optimizing LLMs for RAG: training / controlling LLMs with retrieved context
- 2. Optimizing Retriever for RAG: training retrievers for LLM RAG



Optimizing retrievers for RAG

Training retrieval modules using LM feedback

- For RAG pipelines using blackbox LLMs e.g., GPT o1, we cannot directly train the LLMs for RAG
- Can we train retrievers instead?



REPLUG: Training a retriever using blackbox LLM feedback

Training retrieval model using LM feedback

• Train retrievers for black-box LLMs by minimizing KL divergence between LM & retriever



"REPLUG: Retrieval-Augmented Black-Box Language Models". Shi et al. NAACL 2024.

REPLUG: Training a retriever using blackbox LLM feedback

Training retrieval model using LM feedback

• Train retrievers for black-box LLMs by minimizing KL divergence between LM & retriever



REPLUG: Training a retriever using blackbox LLM feedback

Training retrieval model using LM feedback

• Train retrievers for black-box LLMs by minimizing KL divergence between LM & retriever



"REPLUG: Retrieval-Augmented Black-Box Language Models". Shi et al. NAACL 2024.

RA-DIT: Combining REPLUG + retrieval-augmented LM training

Trains both retriever and LM on multiple tasks using REPLUG + retrieval-augmented training



RA-DIT: Combining REPLUG + retrieval-augmented LM training

Trains both retriever and LM on multiple tasks using REPLUG + retrieval-augmented training

Table 1: Our intruction tuning datasets. All datasets are downloaded from Hugging Face (Lhoest et al., 2021), with the exception of those marked with ‡ , which are taken from Iyer et al. (2022).

Task	HF identifier	Dataset name	\mathcal{D}_L	\mathcal{D}_R	#Train
Dialogue	oasst1	OpenAssistant Conversations Dataset (Köpf et al., 2023)	\checkmark	\checkmark	31,598
Open-Domain QA	commonsense_qa	CommonsenseQA (Talmor et al., 2019)	7 -	-7-	9,741
	math_qa	MathQA (Amini et al., 2019)	\checkmark	\checkmark	29,837
	web_questions	Web Questions (Berant et al., 2013)	\checkmark	\checkmark	3,778
	wiki_qa	Wiki Question Answering (Yang et al., 2015)	\checkmark	\checkmark	20,360
	yahoo_answers_qa	Yahoo! Answers QA	\checkmark	\checkmark	87,362
	freebase_qa	FreebaseQA (Jiang et al., 2019)		\checkmark	20,358
	ms_marco*	MS MARCO (Nguyen et al., 2016)		\checkmark	80,143
Reading Com- prehension	coqa	Conversational Question Answering (Reddy et al., 2019)	7 -		108,647
	drop	Discrete Reasoning Over Paragraphs (Dua et al., 2019)	\checkmark		77,400
	narrativeqa	NarrativeQA (Kočiský et al., 2018)	\checkmark		32,747
	newsqa	NewsQA (Trischler et al., 2017)	\checkmark		74,160
	pubmed_qa	PubMedQA (Jin et al., 2019)	\checkmark	\checkmark	1,000
	quail	QA for Artificial Intelligence (Rogers et al., 2020)	\checkmark		10,246
	quarel	QuaRel (Tafjord et al., 2019)	\checkmark	\checkmark	1,941
	squad_v2	SQuAD v2 (Rajpurkar et al., 2018)	\checkmark		130,319
Summarization	cnn_dailymail	CNN / DailyMail (Hermann et al., 2015)	~~		287,113
	aqua_rat [‡]	Algebra QA with Rationales (Ling et al., 2017)			97,467
Chain-of-	ecqa‡	Explanations for CommonsenseQ (Aggarwal et al., 2021)	\checkmark		7,598
thought	gsm8k [‡]	Grade School Math 8K (Cobbe et al., 2021)	\checkmark		7,473
Reasoning	competiion_math [‡]	MATH (Hendrycks et al., 2021b)	\checkmark		7,500
	strategyqa [‡]	StrategyQA (Geva et al., 2021)	\checkmark		2,290

* We only used the question-and-answer pairs in the MS MARCO dataset.

REPLUG, RA-DIT: Results

Training retriever & LM gives large improvements across diverse tasks

• RA-DIT observes performance gain from combinations of off-the-shelf (REPLUG w/o LSR)



REPLUG, RA-DIT: Results

Training retriever & LM gives large improvements across diverse tasks

- RA-DIT observes performance gain from combinations of off-the-shelf (REPLUG w/o LSR)
- Both LM and retriever training contributes to performance gain



REPLUG, RA-DIT: Results

Training retriever & LM gives large improvements across diverse tasks

- RA-DIT observes performance gain from combinations of off-the-shelf (REPLUG w/o LSR)
- Both LM and retriever training contributes to performance gain



121116

Optimizing retrievers for RAG

Alternative appraoches: introducing additional modules for reranking or filtering

- From initial retrived docs Z, select more relevant context before feeding it to LMs
- Examples include: cross-encoder, context compression (Xi et al., 2024)


Designing and training more reliable LLM RAG

Approaches to optimize (1) LM, (2) Retrievers, or (3) prompts for LLM RAG

- 1. Optimizing LLMs for RAG: training / controlling LLMs with retrieved context
- 2. Optimizing Retriever for RAG: training retrievers for LLM RAG
- 3. Optimizing Prompts for RAG: advanced prompt techniques



Optimizing prompts for RAG applications

• Training-free RAG systems are brittle to prompts

Solve a question answering task with interleaving Thought, Action, Observation steps. Thought can reason about the current situation, and Action can be three types:
(1) Search[entity], which searches the exact entity on Wikipedia and returns the first paragraph if it exists. If not, it will return some similar
entities to search.
(2) Lookup[keyword], which returns the next sentence containing keyword in the current passage.
(3) Finish[answer], which returns the answer and finishes the task.
Here are some examples.
Question: What is the elevation range for the area that the eastern sector of the Colorado orogeny extends into? Thought 1: I need to search Colorado orogeny, find the area that the eastern sector of the Colorado orogeny extends into, then find the elevation range of the area. Action 1: Search[Colorado orogeny] Observation 1: The Colorado orogeny was an episode of mountain building (an orogeny) in Colorado and surrounding areas. Thought 2: It does not mention the eastern sector. So I need to look up eastern sector. Action 2: Lookup[eastern sector] Observation 2: (Result 1 / 1) The eastern sector extends into the High Plains and is called the Central Plains orogeny.
[truncated]

arann

Optimizing prompts for RAG applications

• Training-free RAG systems are brittle to prompts

Solve a question answering task with interleaving Thought, Action, Observation steps. Thought can reason about the current situation,		
and Action can be three types:		
(1) Search[entity], which searches the exact entity on Wikipedia and returns the first paragraph if it exists. If not, it will return some similar		
entities to search.	Sec. ros	
(2) Lookup[keyword], which returns the next sentence containing keyword in the current passage.	Scores	
(3) Finish[answer], which returns the answer and finishes the task.		
Here are some examples.	220/	
Question: What is the elevation range for the area that the eastern sector of the Colorado orogeny extends into Thought 1: I need to search Colorado orogeny, find the area that the eastern sector of the Colorado orogeny e	33%0	
elevation range of the area.	with GPT-3.5	
Observation 1: The Colorado orogeny was an episode of mountain building (an orogeny) in Colorado and surre		
Thought 2: It does not mention the eastern sector. So I need to look up eastern sector.	on a multi-hop QA task	
Action 2: Lookup[eastern sector]		
Observation 2: (Result 1 / 1) The eastern sector extends into the High Plains and is called the Central Plains orogeny.		
[truncated]		

arann

Optimizing prompts for RAG applications

• DSPy optimizes instructions and few-shot demonstrations to achieve the best performance



"DSPy: Compiling Declarative Language Model Calls into Self-Improving Pipelines". Khattab et al. ICLR 2024.

Optimizing prompts for RAG applications



"DSPy: Compiling Declarative Language Model Calls into Self-Improving Pipelines". Khattab et al. ICLR 2024.

class MultiHop(dspy.Module):

```
def __init__(self):
```

self.generate_query = dspy.ChainOfThought("context, question -> query")

self.generate_answer = dspy.ChainOfThought("context, question -> answer")

```
def forward(self, question):
```

```
context = []
```

```
for hop in range(2):
```



Scores

55%

with **GPT-3.5** on a multi-hop QA task

Future: Limitations & future directions

Challenges of scaling up datastores & increased inference-time costs



- Performance gains are achieved by scaling up the datastore to trillions of tokens
- Significantly increases inference costs, including CPU memory and storage requirements (e.g., 24 TB for 1.7 trillion-token).



"Scaling Retrieval-Based Language Models with a Trillion-Token Datastore." Shao, He, Asai et al., ArXiv 2024.

New algorithms & arthictectures to enable more efficient and effective RAG



• Alternative algorithms, better LM architectures, caching ... etc for improving efficiency and performance



"Generative Representational Instruction Tuning." Muennighoff et al., ArXiv 2024.

Evaluations

New algorithms & arthictectures to enable more efficient and effective RAG



- Current "RAG" has many issues such as efficiency & redundancy
- Alternative algorithms, better LM architectures, caching ... etc for improving efficiency and performance



Careful analyses on their effectiveness and limitations





Sli.do code #2068655

Acknowledgements: Some slides are adapted from our ACL 2023 tutorials <u>https://acl2023-retrieval-</u> <u>Im.github.io/</u> co-taught by Akari, Sewon Min, Zexuan Zhong and Danqi Chen. We thank Omar Khattab for sharing the DSPy slides